CLARK WILSON LLP





BCAMI SYMPOSIUM – May 31, 2016 Patrick Williams

TOPICS

- A. Williams' Background
- B. Introduction
- C. Why do we arbitrate?
- D. Examples of Not Achieving the Goals
- E. Process
- F. Planning
- G. Civility
- H. Cost Efficient
- I. Conclusion

WILLIAMS' BACKGROUND

- Therapist
- Experience as an arbitrator, mediator and counsel

INTRODUCTION



HOW DO WE GET TO ARBITRATION?

- 1. Legislation requires arbitration
 - a. UMP through ICBC
- 2. Legislation provides a choice
 - b. <u>Strata Property Act</u>
- 3. Contractual requirement

WHY DO WE ARBITRATE?

Goals:

- 1. Speedier process than court
- 2. More flexible than court
- 3. Adopt Rules
- 4. Choose the adjudicator(s)
- 5. More finality
- 6. Potential ongoing business relationship

HOW DO WE DEFINE "EFFICIENT"?

1. Less costly



ACHIEVING THE GOALS

 All efforts in the hearing should be focused on a process that will achieve the goals noted



EXAMPLES OF NOT ACHIEVING GOALS

- 1. Bird Poop case *Blackmore v. Owners, Strata Plan VR* 274, 2004 BCSC 1121
- Special costs *Hunt v. LMS* 2556, 2015 BCSC 2412
- 3. Case *Sattva Capital Corp. v. Creston Moly Corp.,* 2014 SCC
- 4. What can we learn from mistakes?

PROCESS



Process

- 1. The arbitrator must run the process, not the parties or their legal counsel
- 2. Counsel-represented vs. self-represented parties
 - i. See BCICAC article
- 3. Adopt a process in the pre-hearing conferences and follow them
 - i. Determine how interim applications will be addressed
 - ii. Agree on the Rules that will frame the arbitration and follow them

Process (cont'd)

- iii. Determinate how parties will communicate with the arbitrator(s) and each other
- iv. Who can attend
- v. Opening statements
- vi. Closing arguments
- vii. Subpoena of witnesses
- viii. Witness statements
- ix. Expert reports and evidence
- x. Site "visits"

Process (cont'd)

- xi. Agreed statement of facts
- xii. Agreed statement of issues
- xiii. Production of documents/exhibits
- xiv. Arbitrator(s) fees and expenses

PLANNING



PLANNING

- 1. Pre-hearing conference(s)
- 2. See sample agenda

CIVILITY

Civility

1. Courteous

- 2. Agreeing in advance if parties wish to be addressed formally or informally
- 3. Rhetoric limited to openings and closings

COST EFFICIENT



Cost Efficient

- 1. One or three-person panel
- 2. Adoption of responsive rules
- 3. Dealing with self-represented parties
 - a. Need to communicate the difference between giving evidence and presenting and questioning witnesses
 - b. Need to communicate the differences between giving evidence, presenting and questioning witnesses, and making submissions



CONCLUSION

Arbitration can successfully meet its goals if the arbitration hearing is well-planned and well-run

CLARK WILSON LLP

Presented by: Patrick Williams T: 604.643.3171 E: PWilliams@cwilson.com

These materials are necessarily of a general nature and do not take into consideration any specific matter, client or fact pattern.

THANK YOU – ANY QUESTIONS?

